Morphisms to P (Har II 7)

let A be a ring,  $S = A[x_0, ..., x_n]$ , and X a scheme over A. Suppose There is a morphism

 $\gamma: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n_A$ 

Let  $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{Y}^*(\mathcal{O}(1))$ . Recall that the stalk  $\mathcal{J}_x = \mathcal{O}(1)_{\mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{A})}$ , so since  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  is globally generated by  $x_0, ..., x_n$ ,  $\mathcal{J}$  will also be globally generate by the pullbacks of the sections:  $s_i = \mathcal{Y}^*(x_i) \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{J})$ .

Theorem: let X be as above, and  $\mathcal{L}$  an invertible sheaf on X. If  $\mathcal{L}$  is globally generated by  $s_0, \ldots, s_n \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$ , there is a unique A-morphism  $\mathcal{L}: X \to \mathbb{P}_A^r$  s.t.  $\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{L}^* \mathcal{O}(\iota)$  and  $s_i = \mathcal{L}^*(x_i)$ .

Pf: Define X:= {Pex|(si)pt mptp}, an open subset of X. Since Lp is free of rank one, it is generated by any element not in mptp, so si generates the stalk Lp at each PeXi.

For each P, the (si)p generate Lp. Thus, they're not all contained in mpLp, so the X; cover X.

Let 
$$\{\mathcal{U}_i\}$$
 be the standard open cover of  $|\mathcal{P}_i^{\mathsf{h}}$  where  
 $\mathcal{U}_i = \{x_i \neq 0\}$ , so that  
 $\mathcal{U}_i \stackrel{q}{=} \operatorname{Spec} A[\stackrel{x_0}{\prec}_i, \stackrel{x_1}{\rightarrow}_i, \dots, \stackrel{x_n}{\prec}_i]$ 

Define a ring homomorphism

Sj, S;  $\in \Gamma(X, L)$ , so why does this make sense? For  $p \in X_i$ ,  $(s_i)_p$  generates  $L_p$ , so there's a unique  $r_p \in \mathcal{O}_p$  s.t.  $(S_j)_p = r_p(S_i)_p$ .

Thus, there's a unique 
$$r \in \mathcal{O}_{X}(X_{i})$$
 s.t.  $s_{j} = rs_{i}$ .  
Set  $s_{i} = r$ .

The maps 
$$R_i \rightarrow \Gamma(X_i, O_{X_i})$$
 uniquely determine  
morphisms  $X_i^{\cdot} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Spec} R_i$  (see e.g. Hartshorne exercise 2.4)  
These morphisms glue together (check!), giving us  
an A-morphism  $\Psi: X \rightarrow IP_A^m$ .  
ections of  $O(i)$   
 $V_i$   
 $V_i$   
 $V_i = R_i x_i \otimes \Gamma(X_i, O_{X_i})$   
 $= \Gamma(X_i, O_{X_i}) S_i$   
 $= \chi(X_i).$ 

Since f is locally free and has the same trivializations as  $\P^*O(i)$ , we have  $f \cong \P^*O(i)$  and  $s_i = \P^*(x_i)$ .  $\Box$ 

What is happening here? We are sending the  $S_i \neq 0$ patch to the  $x_i \neq 0$  patch. In the A=k case, why can't we take a k-point P and send it to  $[S_0(P):...:S_n(P)]$ ? Because even though  $J|_{x_i} \cong O_{x_i}$ , the value of  $S_i(P) \in k(P)$  depends on the trivialization, i.e. the choice of isomorphism. However, the ratio  $S'_{s_i}$  will be consistent.

$$\frac{\mathsf{Example}}{\mathsf{x}^3, \mathsf{x}^2\mathsf{y}, \mathsf{x}\mathsf{y}^2, \mathsf{y}^3, \qquad \text{which define a morphism}} \\ \mathbb{P}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3 \\ \mathbb{E}\mathsf{x}:\mathsf{y}\mathsf{I} \longmapsto [\mathsf{x}^3: \mathsf{x}^2\mathsf{y}: \mathsf{x}\mathsf{y}^2: \mathsf{y}^2] \\ (\text{twisted cubic}) \end{aligned}$$

This is an example of a Veronese embedding. More generally, let  $X = IP_{k}^{n}$ , and  $X = O_{x}(d)$ , which is globally generated for  $d \ge 1$  by  $\binom{d+n}{n}$  sections (e.g. all deg d monomials).

Thus, this determines a morphism  $\mathbb{P}_{k}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\binom{d+n}{n}-1}$ 

called the d<sup>m</sup> Veronese embedding.

Since the only invertible sheaves on  $\mathbb{P}_{\mu}^{r}$  are  $\mathcal{O}(d)$  for  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ , all of the maps between projective spaces come from subsets of global sections of some  $\mathcal{O}(d)$ .

In particular, one can show that the automorphisms of  $\mathbb{IP}^n$  correspond to k-bases of  $\Gamma(\mathbb{IP}^n, \mathcal{O}(1))$ up to scaling. i.e. the automorphism group is PGL(n).

What happens if we choose a set of global sections which don't generate I?

Ex:  $X = |P_{\mu}^{2}$ , and take  $xy, yz, xz \in \Gamma(X, O_{x}(2))$ . These sections don't generate  $O_{x}(2)$  at each stalk:

set P = [1:0:0]. Then  $xy, yz, xz \in m_p O(2)_p$ , since the maximal ideal is generated by  $\frac{y}{x}, \frac{z}{x}$ . Thus, these sections can't generate the stalk.

If we try to construct a map anyway, we get  $[x:y:z] \longmapsto [xy:xz:yz].$ 

Problem:  $[1:0:0], [0:1:0], [0:0:1] \mapsto [0:0:0], so it's not$ 

defined at these points.

However, it's defined at all other points, so it defines a map  $\mathbb{P}^2 - \{P, Q, R\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  (Called a Cremona transformation.)



$$L_{1} = \{ \{ * : * : 0 \} \} \longmapsto [1:0:0]$$

$$L_{2} = \{ \{ 0 : * : * \} \} \longmapsto [0:0:1]$$

$$L_{3} = \{ \{ * : 0 : * \} \} \longmapsto [0:1:0]$$

This works more generally: If X is a scheme over A,  $\mathcal{L}$  an invertible sheaf, and so,...,sn any set of global sections, let  $U \subseteq X$  be the (possibly empty) open set over which the si generate  $\mathcal{L}$ .

We'll come back to the concrete setting of projective varieties soon when we discuss linear systems.

## Closed immersions

Under what conditions do f and  $s_{0,...,s_n} \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$ determine a closed immersion?

Prop: let 4: X → P<sup>u</sup><sub>A</sub> be a morphism of A-schemes, corresponding to an invertible sheaf I on X and sections so,..., s<sub>n</sub> ∈ Γ(X, I). Then 4 is a closed immersion iff
(i) each open set X<sub>i</sub> (as defined above) is affine, and
(2) for each i, the map A[yo,..., y<sub>n</sub>] → Γ(X<sub>i</sub>, O<sub>Xi</sub>) defined y<sub>i</sub> → <sup>3i</sup>/s<sub>i</sub> is surjective.

Pf: If 4 is a closed immersion, then Xi = XAU; is a closed subscheme of Ui, so it's affine, and the corr. map of rings is surjective.

Conversely, if () and () are satisfied, then each X; is a closed subscheme of Ui. Since the X; cover X, X must be a closed subscheme of IP. []

If we are over an alg. closed field, we can give a criterion on stalks. (For proof, see Har 7.3)

Prop: Let k=k, X a projective scheme over k, and

not Q. Equivalently,  $st^2 - at^3 \in (s - at)$  in the stalk.

If 
$$P = [1:0]$$
,  $t^3$  vanishes at P but not Q.

However, at P = [1:0], The set of sections of V in  $m_p O(3)_p$  is generated by  $St^2$  and  $t^3$ , whereas  $m_p O(3)_p = (t) (k[s_1t](3)_{(t)})_0$  is generated by t, so  $st^2$ ,  $t^3 \in M_p^2 O(3)_p$ . Thus, V does not separate tangent vectors.

## Very ampleness + ampleness

let X be a scheme of finite type over a Noetherian ring A, and I an invertible sheaf on X.

Def: I is very ample if it admits a set of global sections so,..., sn such that the corresponding morphism  $X \rightarrow IP_A^h$ is an immersion (open subset of a closed embedding).

(If X is proper/k, it's a closed immersion)

I is ample if I is very ample for some n>O.

There are several equivalent characterizations of ampleness, some involving cohomology. Here is one we can state now:

Prop: let X be an invertible sheaf on X (finite type over Noetherian A). Then f is ample iff for every coherent  $\widehat{F}$  on X, there's an integer  $n_0 > 0$  s.t. for  $n \ge n_0$ ,  $\widehat{F} \otimes f^{\otimes n}$  is globally generated.

This characterization can be given as the definition of

ample in a more general setting (e.g. it doesn't require finite type).

Ex: On  $\mathbb{P}_{\mu}^{n}$ , we saw that O(d),  $d \ge 1$  determines the  $d^{th}$  Veronese embedding. Thus, O(d) is ample and very ample. If  $d \le 0$ , then  $O(d)^{n} = O(dn)$  which is not globally generated. Thus, O(d) is ample  $\le d \ge 0$ .

Ex: let  $Q \subseteq P_{k}^{3}$  be the nonsingular quadric surface. We saw That  $Q \cong P' \times P'_{j}$  and  $Pic Q \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ . let  $\mathcal{J}$  be an invertible sheaf on  $Q_{j}$  of type (a, b). Then if a, b > 0,  $\mathcal{I}$  corresponds to the product of Veronese embedding composed W/a Segre embedding $P' \times P' \rightarrow P^{n'} \times P^{n_{j}} \rightarrow P'_{j}$ 

so it is very ample. However, if a or b is  $\leq 0$ , we can restrict f to the corresponding fiber X, and get  $f|_{x} \stackrel{\sim}{=} O_{x}(b)$  (which assume  $b \leq 0$ ), which is not globally generated. Thus, f is not ample.

 $\underline{\mathsf{GX}}$ : let  $X = \operatorname{spec} A$  an affine scheme. Every cohevent sheaf on X is of the form  $\widetilde{\mathsf{M}}$ , where  $\mathsf{M}$  is an A-module. Thus,

every coherent sheat is globally generated, so every invertible sheat is ample.